

Traveller end of life care experiences and needs: thematic analysis

KC Dixon, R Conci, B Bowers, R Duschinsky, A Spathis, S Barclay

Introduction

- Travelling communities are Europe's largest minority ethnic group who experience worse health outcomes than non-travelling communities.¹
- Barriers to accessing palliative care include lack of awareness of available services and conflict with staff, exacerbated by cultural misunderstandings.²
- Little is known about end of life care needs of travelling communities, nor of potential solutions to current problems.²

Methods

- Secondary thematic analysis of audio recordings, originally collected for non-research purposes.^{3,4}
- Two focus groups: One with ten Travellers, one with ten Travellers and three non-community members. Sixteen short interviews with staff working in a hospice setting. Interviews and focus groups were facilitated by a member of the travelling community.
- Qualitative rigour through double coding, researcher triangulation, peer debriefing, reflexive journal.⁵

Results

Theme 1: Central role for family at the end of life

"It must be very daunting for them [staff]... I do understand that, but then they got to understand it's our sort of family... because we are large families."
[Community member 15, focus group 2 (Mixed)]

Theme 2: Specific community rituals around death

- Home and hospice were preferred above hospital as locations for care in the last days of life. Community traditions included the ritual to "sit up" all night after a death and share memories of the person's life.

Theme 3: Traveller identity vital yet hidden

"...I'm not going to tell them. Because... if I start saying I'm a Gypsy... I know it's not going to be the same. So, it's almost like a self-preservation."
[Community member 5, focus group 1 (Travellers)]

Theme 4: Travellers' suggestions to improve end of life care

- Good communication from healthcare professionals, provision of space for visiting family, cultural awareness training, and same-gendered nursing care.

Multi-level tensions permeated the Traveller end of life healthcare experience (demonstrated in figure 1 below):

- Personal tensions between a strong sense of identity and perceived need to hide this identity in the healthcare setting.
- Social tensions between Travellers and healthcare professionals, in relation to specific ways to improve their experiences.

Discussion

Implications for practice, policy and research

- Implementation of suggestions to improve end of life care may be problematic e.g. hospices may not have a room for family to gather in.
- Updating NHS ethnicity monitoring to include travelling communities would help to encourage identity disclosure, allowing increased focus on their needs and enable national monitoring of health outcomes.⁶
- Supporting healthcare professionals to adopt a personalised care approach is likely to be more effective than a single cultural awareness training session.⁷
- Collaboration is key: Service co-creation, in the research context, would enable transition from suggestions to active change.

Strengths and Limitations

- Focus groups and interviews were facilitated by a travelling community member which may have led to more open discussions.
- Findings were discussed with the community member who led the discussions and interviews to ensure they resonated with a Traveller.
- Reduced depth of insight due to the nature of secondary analysis and reduced transferability as participants' sociodemographic details were not available.

Conclusions

- Concealment of identity adds to the challenge of effectively tailoring end of life care to meet an individual's and their family's needs.
- At a systems level, co-creation of end of life care services is vital to meet cultural needs. Mixed focus groups may have value.
- At an individual level, personalised care should be provided to all patients.
- End of life care is a universal healthcare need. Changes in this area of healthcare could impact access and engagement in other areas, paving the way for resolution of health inequalities in these communities.

References

1. Council of Europe. *Descriptive Glossary of terms relating to Roma issues*. Strasbourg; 2012. Available from: <https://rm.coe.int/1680088eab>
2. Dixon KC, Ferris R, Kuhn I, Spathis A, Barclay S. Gypsy, Traveller and Roma experiences, views and needs in palliative and end of life care: a systematic literature review and narrative synthesis. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2021;0:1-10. doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002676.
3. Braun V, Clarke V. Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qual Res Sport Exerc Health*. 2019;11(4):589-97. doi:10.1080/2159676x.2019.1628806.
4. One Voice 4 Travellers. *Suffolk Building Bridges of Understanding Together project*. Available from: <https://onevoice4travellers.co.uk/index.php/suffolk-project-building-bridges-of-connection/>.
5. Nowell LS, Norris JM, White DE, Moules NJ. Thematic Analysis: Striving to Meet the Trustworthiness Criteria. *Int J Qual Methods*. 2017;16(1). doi:10.1177/1609406917733847.
6. UK Government. *Gypsy, Roma and Irish Traveller ethnicity summary*. 2022. Available from: <https://www.ethnicity-facts-figures.service.gov.uk/summaries/gypsy-roma-irish-traveller>.
7. George RE, Thornicroft G, Dogra N. Exploration of cultural competency training in UK healthcare settings: A critical interpretive review of the literature. *Divers Equal Health Care*. 2015;12(3). doi:10.21767/2049-5471.100037.

Full article in
BMJ SPC (2023)

